

Roadside Soils: A Corridor for Invasion of Xeric Scrub by Nonindigenous Plants

Cathryn H. Greenberg

USDA Forest Service
Southern Research Station
Bent Creek Research and
Demonstration Forest
1577 Brevard Road
Asheville, North Carolina 28806 USA

Stanley H. Crownover

Buncombe County Health Department
Division of Environmental
Health Services
35 Woodfin Street
Asheville, North Carolina 28801 USA

Doria R. Gordon

The Nature Conservancy
Department of Botany
University of Florida
P.O. Box 118526
Gainesville, Florida 32611 USA

Natural Areas Journal 17:99-109

ABSTRACT: Invasion of ecosystems by nonindigenous species threatens native biodiversity by altering species **composition** and site **characteristics**, and by potentially impacting endangered species. We compared plant communities and soil **characteristics** along clay, limerock, and unmodified sand roadsides, and in adjacent clearcuts in xeric Florida sand pine scrub to test our hypothesis that modified soils used in constructing roadways provide a roadside corridor for invasion by nonindigenous species and species that are uncharacteristic of xeric scrub. Clay and **limerock** roadsides had more clay and less sand than sand roadsides or **clearcuts**. Soil **pH** and levels of several nutrients differed significantly in **limerock** roadsides relative to the other substrates. In general, sand roadsides and **clearcuts** had higher characteristic but lower **uncharacteristic** and **nonindigenous** plant cover and number of species than modified roadside substrates. **This** suggests that xeric scrub may be somewhat resistant to invasion where native soils are present, even if disturbed. However, **presence** of nonindigenous species suggests that roadways facilitate the transport of source propagules to otherwise remote sites. Especially where roadside and native soil **characteristics** differ markedly, conditions may be enhanced for invasion by nonindigenous and uncharacteristic plants.

Index terms: exotic plants, invasive plants, roadside soils, roadside vegetation, scrub

INTRODUCTION

Invasive, nonindigenous species are **taxa** that have been **introduced**, intentionally or not, into a novel habitat and successfully establish and reproduce (sexually or asexually) in that new location (U.S. **Office** of Technology Assessment 1993). Invasion of **txosystems** by nonindigenous species (**NIS**) directly threatens native **biodiversity** by altering species composition and ecosystem structure and function (Elton 1958, Mooney and Drake 1986, Vitousek 1986). **NIS** may cause **indiit** adverse effects by disrupting ecosystem processes such as nutrient cycling and retention, hydrology and water flux, soil erosion and fertility, and disturbance regime (Vitousek et al. 1987; **D'Antonio** and Vitousek 1992; Gordon 1993; Gordon, in press).

In the United States, billions of dollars have been spent on purchasing lands for protection of native biodiversity even as they are **being** invaded and degraded by **NIS** (Anonymous 1993). **NIS** have caused over \$97 **biion** in economic loss since 1906 (Office of Technology Assessment 1993). In Florida, over **25%** of all plant species living in the wild are **NIS** (Ward 1990). Because of the subtropical climate, multiple entry routes and modes, deliberate introductions and trade of **NIS**, and habitat degradation due to increasing population growth and urbanization, Florida's

ecosystems are among those at highest risk for invasion by **NIS** (Anonymous 1993, Office of Technology Assessment 1993, Florida Department of Environmental Protection 1994). A better understanding of conditions facilitating the establishment of **NIS** plants will enhance efforts to control of their spread.

Disturbance may enable **NIS** to gain a foothold in ecosystems by reducing competition, affecting surface texture and microclimate, and changing the availability of resources such as nutrients, water, and light (Fox and Fox 1986, **Orians** 1986, Hobbs and Huenneke 1992, McIntyre and **Lavorel** 1994). A "disturbance" in this context may be defined as a deviation from the natural processes that cause resource releases in a given ecosystem. Modification of an "endogenous" disturbance regime, or regime under which the plant community evolved (**Denslow** 1985), may increase the **vulnerabiity** of a community to invasion **by-NIS** (Fox and Fox 1986; Hobbs and Huenneke 1992). Hence, the removal of grazers from grazing-adapted ecosystems (Hobbs and Huenneke 1992), or fire **suppression** in "fire-climax" communities (Abrahamson and Hartnett 1990, Myers 1990), constitutes a disturbance insofar as the **endogenous** disturbance regime is disrupted. Similarly, the introduction of novel, or "exogenous" disturbance types may increase community invasibility (**Westman** 1985).

Roadsides provide optimal conditions for invasion by NIS. Vehicle tires, imported road-building materials, and people provide source propagules (Wace 1977, Willard et al. 1990). Substrate used in road construction may modify soil properties such as pH, particle size, texture, nutrient levels, and water-holding capacity (Fox and Fox 1986). Removal of native vegetation, soil disturbance, and increased runoff from road surfaces may further enhance conditions for invasion by NIS and reduce the ability of native species to compete. This effect may be most pronounced where native soils differ markedly from modified soils used in roadway construction.

We hypothesized that the addition of clay and limerock substrates used in constructing permanent unpaved roads and temporary logging roads in the xeric, infertile sandy soil of the Ocala National Forest provides a roadside corridor for invasion by NIS and other plant species that are not generally found in the sand pine scrub ecosystem.

METHODS AND MATERIALS

Study Area

The Ocala National Forest covers approximately 180,080 ha in Marion, Lake, and Putnam Counties in central Florida. The national forest is bounded by the Ocklawaha River to the west and north, the St. John's River to the east, and extensive wetlands to the south. Elevations range from 2 to 49 m above mean sea level. The sand pine scrub community occupies over half of the national forest area.

Sand pine scrub is a sclerophyllous shrub-dominated ecosystem occurring on infertile, xeric sands. Soils supporting sand pine scrub are excessively drained aeolian or marine sands, classified as hyperthermic, uncoated families of spodic (Paola series) and Typic Quartzipsamments (As-tatula series). This area receives approximately 1,300 mm of rainfall annually, with over half falling between June and September. Average temperatures range from 20° to 32° between April and October, and from 1° to 23° C between November and

March (U.S. Soil Conservation Service 1975).

Sand pine, *Pinus clausa* (Chapm. ex Engelm.) Vasey ex Sarg., is managed for pulpwood production in the Ocala National Forest. Stand management and harvest, public access, and fire lanes all require the creation of roads in the forest. Three types of secondary (unpaved) roads occur in the Ocala National Forest. Limerock-based roads are constructed using a layer of limerock several centimeters thick, overlain by several centimeters of clay. Clay-based roads are constructed by logging companies to gain access for heavy equipment to forest stands. Clay is placed on sand roads in patches, as needed to prevent machinery from becoming stuck in sand. Clay for both types is obtained from local claypits within the national forest, and the percentage clay present may vary among claypits. Sand roads are constructed simply by clearing vegetation from sandy soil. Sand roads grid the forest as section boundary lines; plow lines around forest stands are also occasionally used as roads.

Field Measurements

To test our hypotheses in the field we compared plant communities and soils of sand (SAND), clay (CLAY), and limerock-clay (LIME) roadsides within the scrub community to adjacent disturbed stand interiors that had been clearcut and site-prepared for planting (either roller-chopped or "bracke-seeded" by creating 8-cm-high mounds of soil) 1-5 years prior to sampling (CLEARCUT). By including sand roadsides and scrub clearcuts we controlled for disturbance alone as the primary factor in facilitating establishment by NIS and species not commonly found in intact scrub communities, hereafter referred to as "uncharacteristic species," along roadsides.

Percentage cover of each plant species was measured in 15 replicate sites for SAND, CLAY, and LIME substrates, and paired (adjacent) CLEARCUT substrate using the line-intercept method along a single 15-m line transect. Transects parallel to roads were randomly located along

roadsides. Parallel transects were laid in adjacent CLEARCUTS within a randomly selected distance between 10 and 130 m toward the site interior from the roadside transect. If two roadside types bordering the same clearcut were sampled, paired CLEARCUT transects did not overlap.

The top 5 cm of soil were sampled for particle size and nutrient analyses every 2.5 m along each transect (six subsamples). The subsamples were combined to make one composite sample per transect.

Laboratory Measurements (Soils)

Soil samples were oven dried at 40°C, passed through a 2-mm sieve, and thoroughly mixed. Standard analyses (Soil Survey Staff 1992) were used in the following procedures: particle size distribution (sand, silt, and clay percentages) was determined by the pipette analysis, organic carbon content was determined by acid-dichromate digestion, soil pH was measured in distilled water at a 1:1 ratio, and ammonium nitrogen ($\text{NH}_4^+\text{-N}$) and nitrate nitrogen ($\text{NO}_3^-\text{-N}$) were determined using the micro Kjeldahl procedure in a 2:1 water to soil ratio.

Exchangeable bases (potassium, calcium, and magnesium), extractable phosphorus, and aluminum were determined using the Mehlich-I procedure (Hanlon et al. 1994). Potassium, calcium, magnesium and aluminum were analyzed by inductively coupled argon plasma (ICAP) spectroscopy at the IFAS Analytical Research Laboratory at the University of Florida in Gainesville. Phosphorus was determined colorimetrically by a procedure designed to analyze the Mehlich-I extractable phosphorus (Hanlon et al. 1994).

Data Analysis

Each species was categorized as "characteristic?" "nonindigenous" (NIS), or "uncharacteristic." Categories were based on extensive vegetation sampling of xeric sand pine scrub at Ocala National Forest in a prior study (Greenberg 1993); all category assignments were verified by botanist Daniel Ward (Department of Botany, University of Florida, pers. corn.).

We selected a random subsample of the 45 CLEARCUT transects to create a balanced statistical design for comparing species richness (number of species) and percentage cover of characteristic, uncharacteristic, and NIS plant species as well as soil characteristics among the four substrates (n=15 each) using ANOVA (SAS Institute 1989) Data were log transformed or (for proportional data only) arcsine square-root

transformed (Zar 1984) where required to correct for nonnormality or heteroscedasticity. If percentage plant cover exceeded 100% we assigned it a 100% cover value for arcsine square-root transformation. Pairwise contrasts between least squares means were performed when there was a significant treatment effect (SAS Institute 1989).

RESULTS

Particle Size Analysis

SAND and CLEARCUT substrates had significantly more sand (SAND having slightly but significantly more sand than CLEARCUT) ($F=23.78$, $df=3$, $P=0.0001$) and less clay ($F=64.88$, $df=3$, $P=0.0001$) than CLAY or LIME substrates (Figure 1). Percentage silt was lowest in SAND and LIME substrates followed by CLAY and CLEARCUT substrates ($F=6.83$, $df=3$, $P=0.0005$).

Soil Chemical Analysis

Soil pH was significantly lower in SAND and CLEARCUT substrates than in other substrates, and was significantly higher in LIME than in others ($F=80.6$, $df=3$, $P=0.0001$). Percentage organic carbon was significantly higher in SAND and CLEARCUT substrates than in CLAY or LIME substrates ($F=6.68$, $df=3$, $P=0.0006$) (Table 1).

Soil nutrient levels varied among substrates (Table 1). The aluminum level was significantly lower ($F=38.76$, $df=3$, $P=0.0001$) and calcium ($F=5659$, $df=3$, $P=0.0001$) and phosphorus ($F=3.8$, $df=3$, $P=0.0149$) levels were significantly higher in LIME than in other substrates. Potassium levels were significantly lower in SAND than in other substrates ($F=6.93$, $df=3$, $P=0.0005$). Magnesium levels also were significantly




Figure 1. Mean (\pm SE) particle size distribution of sand-, clay-, and limerock-based roadside soils and in adjacent clearcuts, Ocala National Forest, Florida. Significant differences in percentage sand, silt, or limerock were determined by pairwise contrasts between least squares means (SAS Institute 1989) and are denoted by different letters among treatments.

Table 1. Mean \pm SE soil chemical properties of sand-, clay-, and limerock-based roadsides and adjacent clearcuts, Ocala National Forest, Florida.

Chemical Properties	Roadside Substrates ^a			
	Sand	Clay	Lime	c l - t
pH	4.85 ^a \pm 0.08	5.38 ^b \pm 0.18	8.14 ^c \pm 0.08	4.68 ^a \pm 0.1
Organic carbon (%)	1.05 ^a \pm 0.09	0.77 ^b \pm 0.1	0.72 ^b \pm 0.12	1.24 ^a \pm 0.06
Aluminum (mg/kg)	53.33 ^a \pm 4.21	53.96 ^a \pm 4.6	4.96 ^a \pm 2.93	43.95 ^a \pm 2.84
Calcium (mg/kg)	62.65 ^a \pm 19.08	121.16 ^a \pm 29.22	6270.67 ^b \pm 55.77	93.96 ^a \pm 20.24
Potassium (mg/kg)	3.37 ^a \pm 0.25	5.34 ^b \pm 0.56	5.73 ^b \pm 0.55	5.93 ^b \pm 0.34
Magnesium (mg/kg)	4.91 ^a \pm 0.59	9.74 ^b \pm 1.22	38.34 ^c \pm 1.41	11.04 ^b \pm 1.27
Nitrate nitrogen (mg/kg)	0.63 \pm 0.16	0.3 \pm 0.07	0.5 \pm 0.1	0.53 \pm 0.1
Ammonium nitrogen (mg/kg)	0.2 \pm 0.14	0.06 \pm 0.04	0.23 \pm 0.66	0.4 \pm 0.21
Phosphorus (mg/kg)	1.43 ^a \pm 0.12	1.57 ^a \pm 0.19	8.36 ^b \pm 3.49	1.62 ^a \pm 0.09

^a Different letters within a row denote significant differences among treatments ($P < 0.001$).

lower in SAND and significantly higher in LIME than in CLAY or CLEARCUT substrates ($F=168.45$, $df=3$, $P=0.0001$). Levels of ammonium nitrogen and nitrate nitrogen did not significantly differ among substrates.

Roadside Plant Associations

We recorded a total of 62 characteristic, 27 uncharacteristic, and 7 NIS species in SAND, CLAY, LIME, and CLEARCUT substrates combined (Table 2). Most species occurred infrequently and were patchy in their distribution.

CLEARCUT substrates had significantly higher percentage cover of characteristic plant species ($F=11.19$, $df=3$, $P=0.0001$) than other treatments; SAND had significantly more characteristic species than CLAY but not LIME (Figure 2). SAND and CLEARCUT had significantly lower percentage cover of NIS species than CLAY or LIME substrates ($F=5.31$, $df=3$, $P=0.0027$). SAND and CLEARCUT substrates also had significantly lower percentage cover of uncharacteristic species than CLAY or LIME substrates, but LIME had significantly higher percentage cover of uncharacteristic species than all other substrates ($F=26.61$, $df=3$, $P=0.0001$).

Richness of characteristic plant species along the transects was significantly higher in SAND and CLEARCUT substrates than in CLAY or LIME, but was significantly lower in LIME than in CLAY ($F=14.53$, $df=3$, $P=0.0001$) (Figure 3). Uncharacteristic species richness was significantly lower in SAND and CLEARCUT substrates than in CLAY or LIME, and was significantly higher in LIME than in CLAY ($F=54.07$, $df=3$, $P=0.0001$). Species richness of NIS was lowest in SAND and CLEARCUT substrates, followed by LIME, which differed significantly only from CLEARCUT substrate. CLAY had significantly higher NIS species richness than SAND and CLEARCUT substrates ($F=9.03$, $df=3$, $P=0.0001$).

DISCUSSION

Proportional differences in characteristic, NIS, and uncharacteristic species among




Figure 2. Mean (\pm SE) percentage cover of characteristic, uncharacteristic, and nonindigenous plant species along sand-, clay-, and limerock-based roadsides and in adjacent clearcuts, Ocala National Forest, Florida. Significant differences in percentage cover of each category were determined by pairwise contrasts between least squares means (SAS Institute 1989) and are denoted by different letters among treatments.




Figure 3. Mean (\pm SE) species richness (number of species) of characteristic, uncharacteristic, and nonindigenous plants along sand-, clay-, and limerock-based roadsides and in adjacent clearcuts, Ocala National Forest, Florida. Significant differences in species richness within each category were determined by pairwise contrasts between least squares means (SAS Institute 1989) and are denoted by different letters among treatments.

Table 2. Mean percent cover^a ± SE and frequency (in parentheses) by transect of uncharacteristic, nonindigenous, and characteristic scrub plant species along sand-, clay-, and limestone-based roadsides and in adjacent clearcuts, Ocala National Forest, Florida.

Species	Roadside Substrates ^a			
	Sand	Clay	Lime	Clearcut
UNCHARACTERISTIC SPECIES				
<i>Ambrosia artemisiifolia</i> L.	0.00 (0.00)	0.12±0.12 (6.67)	3.38±1.98 (46.67)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Bidens alba</i> (L.) DC.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.82±0.73 (13.33)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Cassia nictitans</i> L.	0.00 (0.00)	0.14±0.10 (0.00)	30.50±7.61 (6.67)	0.16±0.16 (0.00)
<i>Cenchrus incertus</i> M.A. Curtis	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (20.00)	0.007±0.07 (86.67)	0.00 (6.67)
<i>Chamaesyce cordifolia</i> (Ell.) Small	0.00 (0.00)	0.20±0.20 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>c. maculata</i> (L.) small	0.00 (0.00)	0.70±0.70 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>C. hyssopifolia</i> (L.) Small	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.02±0.02 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Cirsium horridulum</i> Michx.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	x (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Conyza canadensis</i> (L.) Cronq.	0.00 (0.00)	0.01±0.01 (6.67)	4.99±1.51 (73.3)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Desmodium incanum</i> DC.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.04±0.04 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Digitaria ciliaris</i> (Retz.) Koel.	0.00 (0.00)	6.51±4.32 (33.30)	2.30±1.25 (40.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>D. villosa</i> (Walt.) Pers.	2.37±1.43 (20.00)	5.37±2.41 (53.30)	0.09±0.09 (6.67)	0.02±0.02 (6.67)
<i>Dioda teres</i> Walt.	0.48±0.38 (0.00)	11.80±3.47 (0.00)	1.16±1.16 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Eragrostis hirsuta</i> (Michx.) Nees	0.00 (0.00)	0.27±0.27 (6.67)	6.82±4.75 (33.33)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>E. refracta</i> (Muhl.) Scribn.	0.04±0.04 (6.67)	0.04±0.04 (6.67)	11.91±1.66 (53.33)	0.31±0.31 (6.67)
<i>E. spectabilis</i> (Pursh) Steud.	0.00 (0.00)	2.74±1.43 (33.33)	11.40±7.69 (33.33)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Eustachys petraea</i> (Sw.) Desv.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	6.12±2.15 (80.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Gaum angustifolia</i> Michx.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.01±0.01 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Gnaphalium obtusifolium</i> L.	0.04±0.04 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.02±0.02 (6.67)
<i>Hypericum gentianoides</i> (L.) BSP.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.01±0.01 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Linaria floridana</i> Chapm.	0.01±0.01 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Lippia nodiflora</i> (L.) Michx.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.31±0.31 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Oxalis stricta</i> L.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.05±0.05 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Paspalum setaceum</i> Michx.	0.00 (0.00)	0.27±0.27 (6.67)	0.31±0.23 (13.33)	0.00 (0.00)

Table 2, continued

Species	Roadside Substrates ^a			
	Sand	Clay	Lime	Clearcut
<i>Setaria geniculata</i> (Lam.) Beauv.	0.00 (6.67)	0.07±0.07 (13.33)	0.21±0.21 (13.33)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Solidago chapmanii</i> Torr. & Gray	0.00 (0.00)	0.07±0.07 (6.67)	0.21±0.21 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Triplasis purpurea</i> (Walt.) Chapm.	7.09±6.20 (33.33)	16.87±7.71 (86.67)	0.58±0.31 (26.67)	0.13±0.13 (6.67)
NONINDIGENOUS SPECIES				
<i>Eremochloa ophiuroides</i> (Munro) Hack.	0.00 (0.00)	0.26±0.26 (13.33)	11.22±6.67 (26.67)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Mitracarpus hirtus</i> (L.) DC.	1.11±0.71 (26.67)	7.86±3.90 (53.33)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Paspalum notatum</i> Fluegge	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	2.60±1.98 (13.33)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>P. urvillei</i> Steud.	0.00 (0.00)	0.01±0.00 (13.33)	0.84±0.75 (13.33)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Rhynchelytrum repens</i> (Willd.) C.E. Hubb.	0.00 (0.00)	0.19±0.16 (13.33)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Richardia brasiliensis</i> (Moq.) Gomez	0.05±0.05 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Sporobolus indicus</i> (L.) R. Br.	0.00 (0.00)	0.84±0.84 (6.67)	0.09±0.09 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)
CHARACTERISTIC SPECIES				
<i>Andropogon floridanus</i> Scribn.	0.01±0.01 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>A. temarius</i> Michx.	0.56±0.47 (13.33)	0.18±0.18 (13.33)	0.81±0.54 (13.33)	0.18±0.14 (13.33)
<i>A. virginicus</i> L.	0.19±0.09 (26.67)	0.14±0.10 (13.33)	4.69±2.47 (40.00)	0.85±0.84 (13.33)
<i>Aristida gyrans</i> Chapm.	1.55±1.55 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>A. purpurascens</i> Poir.	1.24±0.51 (60.00)	0.53±0.41 (20.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.23±0.16 (13.33)
<i>Asimina obovata</i> (Willd.) Nash	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.05±0.04 (6.67)
<i>Balduina angustifolia</i> (Pursh) Robins.	0.11±0.11 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Baptisia kentei</i> Torr. & Gray	0.00 (0.00)	0.13±0.13 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Bonamia grandiflora</i> (A. Gray) Heller	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.06±0.04 (13.33)
<i>Bulbostylis ciliatifolia</i> (Ell.) Fem.	0.60±0.19 (53.33)	0.46±0.33 (13.33)	0.04±0.04 (6.67)	0.56±0.51 (130.33)
<i>Ceratiola ericoides</i> Michx.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.06±0.05 (13.33)
<i>Chapmania floridana</i> Torr. & Gray	0.20±0.11 (26.67)	0.01±0.01 (13.33)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Clitoria mariana</i> L.	0.00 (0.00)	0.04±0.04 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.05±0.04 (0.00)

Table 2, continued

Species	Roadside Substrates'			
	Sand	Clay	Lime	Clearcut
<i>Cnidoscolus stimulosus</i> (Michx.) Engelm. & Gray	0.02±0.02 (6.67)	x (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Commelina erecta</i> L.	0.00 (0.00)	(6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Crotonopsis linearis</i> Michx.	5.24±3.30 (46.67)	1.96±0.76 (46.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Cyperus nashii</i> Britt.	2.73±1.41 (66.67)	0.60±0.31 (46.67)	x (6.67)	3.56±1.50 (53.33)
<i>Dalea feayi</i> (Chapm.) Barneby	0.37±0.22 (26.67)	0.02±0.02 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.01±0.01 (6.67)
<i>Dicanthelium ovale</i> (Ell.) Gould & Clark	x (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>D. sabulorum</i> (Lam.) Gould & Clark	0.16±0.16 (13.33)	1.18±0.11 (20.00)	0.00 (0.00)	2.07±1.28 (33.33)
<i>Eupatorium compositifolium</i> Walt.	0.40±0.22 (26.67)	0.80±0.07 (13.33)	0.18±0.18 (93.33)	0.49±0.26 (20.00)
<i>Galactica rliottii</i> Nutt.	0.02±0.02 (6.67)	1.27±1.22 (13.33)	0.04±0.04 (6.67)	0.58±0.58 (6.67)
<i>G. volubilis</i> Britt.	0.75±0.29 (60.00)	0.60±0.39 (20.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.75±0.36 (33.33)
<i>Garberia heterophylla</i> Bartr. Merr. & Harp.	0.69±0.57 (26.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.22±0.22 (6.67)	0.95±0.62 (26.67)
<i>Hypericum hypericoides</i> (L.) Crantz	0.16±0.16 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.07±0.07 (6.67)	0.20±0.20 (6.67)
<i>Lactuca graminifolia</i> Michx.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.14±0.07 (26.67)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Lechea deckertii</i> Small	0.12±0.11 (13.33)	0.05±0.04 (13.33)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Liatris tenuifolia</i> Nutt.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.01±0.01 (6.67)
<i>Licania michauxii</i> Prance	0.43±0.43 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	1.00±0.59 (20.00)
<i>Lupinus diffusus</i> Nutt.	0.00 (0.0)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.22±0.22 (6.67)
<i>Lyonia ferruginea</i> (Walt.) Nutt.	0.36±0.36 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.67±0.62 (13.33)
<i>Opuntia humifusa</i> (Raf.) Raf.	0.02±0.02 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Palafoxia feayi</i> A. Gray	0.00 (0.00)	0.01±0.01 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Panicum</i> sp.	0.01±0.00 (6.67)	0.67±0.67 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.01±0.00 (6.67)
<i>Paronychia patula</i> Shinnars	3.59±1.89 (26.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Persea humilis</i> Nash	0.00 (0.00)	0.04±0.04 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Physalis arenicola</i> Kearny	x (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.02±0.02 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Pinus clausa</i> (Chapm. ex Engelm.) Vasey ex Sarg.	0.08±0.05 (20.00)	0.11±0.11 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	2.76±1.57 (53.33)

Table 2, continued

Species	Roadside Substrates ^a			
	Sand	Clay	Lime	Clearcut
<i>Pityopsis graminifolia</i> (Michx.) Nutt.	1.04±1.00 (20.00)	0.02±0.02 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.21±0.20 (6.67)
<i>Polanisia tenuifolia</i> Torr. & Gray	x (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Polygonella gracilis</i> (Nutt.) Meisn.	0.19±0.18 (20.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Quercus chapmanii</i> Sarg.	1.87±1.13 (20.00)	0.68±0.26 (26.67)	0.09±0.09 (6.67)	1.65±0.59 (40.00)
<i>Q. geminata</i> Small	0.96±0.63 (33.33)	0.00 (26.67)	0.36±0.28 (13.33)	6.97±2.08 (0.00)
<i>Q. laevis</i> Walt.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.13±0.10 (13.33)
<i>Q. myrtifolia</i> Willd.	0.69±0.62 (20.00)	0.64±0.37 (20.00)	0.67±0.67 (6.67)	17.95±5.06 (86.67)
<i>Rhynchosia cineria</i> Nash	0.03±0.03 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>R. megalocarpa</i> A. Gray	0.80±0.41 (33.33)	0.11±0.11 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	1.45±0.40 (60.00)
<i>Sabal etonia</i> Swingle ex Nash	3.32±1.93 (66.67)	1.92±0.67 (40.00)	0.53±0.53 (6.67)	6.23±1.63 (80.00)
<i>Sckria triglomerata</i> Michx.	0.07±0.07 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.29±0.29 (6.67)
<i>Selaginella arenicola</i> Underw.	0.02±0.02 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Serenoa repens</i> (Bartr.) Small	0.09±0.09 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.05±0.04 (13.33)	0.62±0.38 (33.33)
<i>Smilax auriculata</i> Walt.	0.00 (0.00)	0.01±0.01 (6.67)	0.10±0.10 (6.67)	0.01±0.01 (33.33)
<i>S. pumila</i> Walt.	0.02±0.02 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Stillingia sylvatica</i> L.	0.00 (0.00)	0.11±0.11 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Stipulicida setacea</i> Michx.	0.13±0.13 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Tephrosia chrysophylla</i> Pursh	0.19±0.14 (20.00)	0.04±0.04 (6.67)	0.01±0.01 (6.67)	0.13±0.13 (6.67)
<i>Tragia urens</i> L.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.06±0.06 (6.67)
<i>Trichostema dichotomum</i> L.	0.18±0.18 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.04±0.04 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>Triplasis americana</i> Beauv.	0.04±0.04 (6.67)	0.42±0.42 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)
<i>V. myrsinites</i> Lam.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.62±0.39 (26.67)
<i>v. stamineum</i> L.	0.12±0.12 (6.67)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.16±0.13 (13.33)
<i>Zamia pumila</i> L.	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.00 (0.00)	0.01±0.01 (6.67)

^a An "x" denotes cover <0.01 percent.

substrates were closely related to differences in soil properties. Despite soil **disturbance to SAND and CLEARCUT substrates**, richness and percentage cover of **uncharacteristic** and **NIS** species were significantly lower, and richness and percentage cover of **characteristic** species were significantly higher, than for substrates with introduced soils having different properties. These relationships suggest that clay and **limerock** substrates used in roadway construction permit **uncharacteristic** and **NIS** species to establish and **persist** in an ecosystem that is otherwise inhospitable to their survival.

Nutrient enrichment of low-fertility soils can promote invasion by **NIS**, often with a corresponding **decrease** in native species richness (Heddl and Specht 1975, Clements 1983, Huenneke et al. 1990). Several studies suggest that nitrogen and phosphorus have the greatest effects. Hobbs et al. (1988) reported that invasion by **nonindigenous** grasses appears to be especially enhanced by **fertilization** (N compounds, P and K) to the detriment of native **broad-leaved** plants. Hobbs and Atkins (1988) reported higher establishment rates of **NIS** in disturbed soils, and higher growth rates in **fertilized** (N compounds, P and K) soils, with a combination of disturbance and fertilizer resulting in the highest biomass of **NIS**. Heddl and Specht (1975) reported a **decrease** in native species and an **increase** in **nonindigenous** herbaceous species on phosphorus-enhanced plots in Australian heath. Cale and Hobbs (1991) reported that roadside soils contained significantly higher levels of soil phosphorus and NO₃, than off-road soils. In their study, percentage cover of both native and exotic plants was **significantly** positively **correlated** with soil phosphorus and (less so) NH₄, and diversity of exotic plants was positively correlated with soil phosphorus levels.

Soil **pH** is directly linked to nutrient availability. As reflected in the LIME versus **other substrates**, increased **pH** results in **decreased Al** and **increased Mg and Ca** levels (Brady 1974). Phosphorus increases with **pH** as well, but at about **pH > 7** phosphorus availability is reduced by formation of complex insoluble calcium phos-

phates. In addition, Ca may hinder absorption and use of P by plants. Hence changes in soil **pH** may affect invasibility directly or indirectly by altering nutrient availability (Buchanan et al. 1975). Soil **pH** also may directly or indirectly affect plant species composition, because each species has an optimum **pH** range (Buchanan et al. 1975, Johnson and Burns 1985, Stephenson and Recheigl 1991). We suggest that reduced cover and richness of characteristic native scrub species and higher cover and richness of uncharacteristic species and **NIS** along **CLAY** and **LIME** roadsides are associated with modified soil properties and nutrient availability that favor different species. The observation that percentage cover and species richness of uncharacteristic species were **higher along LIME than along CLAY roadsides** could be related to higher **phosphorus levels in LIME**.

Potentially higher soil moisture levels along **CLAY** and **LIME** roadsides, a result of increased runoff from compact road surfaces and/or higher clay content (hence water-holding capacity), also may contribute to higher incidence of uncharacteristic species and **NIS** species. Amor and Stevens (1975) suggested that higher disturbance, light, and moisture availability along roads accounted for higher frequency of **NIS** along roads and decreasing frequency with distance from the road. McIntyre and Lavorel (1994) found fewer native plant species and more **NIS** along disturbed roadsides receiving increased road runoff than in undisturbed sites.

An additional explanation for the greater abundance of uncharacteristic species and **NIS** along roads with stabilizing substrate additions is that these roads likely receive greater use by vehicles. If propagules are transported on vehicles (e.g., Wace 1977), introduction probability is higher on these road surfaces. However, no parallel higher colonization rates were observed on **CLEARCUT** soils adjacent to **LIME** or **CLAY** roadsides, nor on (albeit less travelled) **SAND** roads. As a result, we suggest that modification to soil properties is the dominant factor in the species composition changes.

Ecosystems vary in their susceptibility to invasion by NIS (Hobbs and Atkins 1988, Hobbs and Huenneke 1992). Early successional, floristically simple, anthropogenically disturbed communities on mesic, fertile soils may be especially vulnerable (Ewel 1986, Fox and Fox 1986, Orians 1986, Hobbs and Huenneke 1992, Lodge 1993, McIntyre and Lavorel 1994). **Invaded** habitats also may have climate, soils, and plant life forms resembling those of the nonindigenous species' habitat of origin (McIntyre and Lavorel 1994).

Invasion-resistant ecosystems tend to have dense, closed vegetation or stressful abiotic features that require specialized adaptations (Baker 1986). Communities that evolved under pressure from grazers, predators, or fire may be more resistant to invasion by **NIS** intolerant of those conditions (Lodge 1993). Hence, xeric habitats such as warm deserts and semideserts have lower numbers of **NIS** (MacDonald et al. 1989). Similarly, Hobbs and Atkins (1988) reported low invasibility of Australian heath. Forcella and Harvey (1983) reported high **NIS** invasion in lower-elevation mountains of Montana, whereas invasions were limited to clearcuts in mid-montane forests, presumably because of suboptimal climatic conditions.

The presence of few **NIS** and/or uncharacteristic species along sand roadsides and in clearcuts suggests that xeric scrub may be somewhat resistant to invasion where native soils are present, even if disturbed. Austin et al. (1977) noted that some exotic trees can nearly eliminate native plants in scrub sites that are recently disturbed or are continually disturbed, such as along roadsides, but not in sites where the disturbance is a one-time event and happened in the distant past.

We suggest that the xeric, infertile soils and low frequency, high intensity wildfire regime under which Florida scrub plants evolved may preadapt them to exogenous disturbances that mimic the natural disturbance regime (Abrahamson 1984a, 1984b; Fox and Fox 1986; Hobbs and Atkins 1991; McIntyre and Lavorel 1994; Greenberg et al. 1995). However, the presence of **NIS** and uncharacteristic species along sand

roadsides and in clearcuts **emphasizes** the role of roads in facilitating **transport** of source propagules to otherwise remote sites.

Although sand pine scrub may be more **resistant** to NIS invasions than many Florida ecosystems, clearly it is not **invasion-proof**. **One** motivation for initiation of this study was our observation of species like *Rhynchelytrum repens* (Willd.) C.E. Hubb. and *Imperata cylindrica* (L.) Beauv. in both roadside and **clearcut** scrub vegetation. Some NIS may be "preadapted" or **sufficiently plastic** to invade the local **environment** (Baker 1986). Others may undergo genetic change in response to selection pressure once they are established, enabling them to spread **into** **hitherto** inhospitable edaphic conditions (Baker 1967). Because genetic adjustment may **require a lag time**, the **threat to intact ecosystems** may not be immediately apparent (Bazzaz 1986).

CONCLUSIONS

Modified soils used in road construction appear to facilitate the spread of NIS and uncharacteristic plant species along roadside corridors. This invasion may be especially pronounced where roadside soil properties differ markedly from those of native soils (as in **xeric** scrub), **because** conditions governing competition and survival of native versus introduced species are altered. The presence of some NIS and uncharacteristic species in **SAND** and **CLEARCUT** **substrates suggests that** roadways facilitate the transport of source propagules to otherwise remote sites. Our data suggest that land managers should exercise caution when making decisions on if, where, and how roads must be constructed through natural areas.

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

This research was supported by the USDA Forest Service Southern Research Station. We thank Michael Drummond for **identifying difficult** plant specimens. We also thank Walter Judd and Rent Perkins for access to the University of Florida Herbarium and for their assistance, and Mary

E. Collins and Larry Schwandes for access to the Soil Characterization Lab, Department of Soil and Water Science, University of Florida. We thank the Ocala National Forest staff, especially Ron **Lowery**, Laura Lower-y, and Carrie Sekerak for their cooperation and assistance. Nancy Coile, Michael Moulton, Daniel Austin, and Warren **Abrahamson** reviewed earlier versions of the manuscript. Mike Allen, **Isadore Williams**, Kevin Thomas, and Charles **Lassiter** assisted in the field. Technical assistance on this manuscript was **provided by Sandra Coleman, Patricia Outcalt**, and **Viia Gibbs**.

Cathryn H. Greenberg is a Research Ecologist with the U.S. Forest Service in Asheville, North Carolina. Her research focuses on the ecology of natural and silvicultural disturbance, and plant-animal interaction at a landscape level.

Stanley H. Crownover is a Soil Scientist for the Buncombe County Health Department, Asheville, North Carolina. His doctoral research focused on soil stratigraphy and landscape stability on karst topography in eastern Tennessee. His interests include pedology, geomorphology, and landscape evolution.

Doria R. Gordon is the State Ecologist for the Florida region of The Nature Conservancy and Courtesy Assistant Professor of Botany at the University of Florida. Her research and monitoring work focus on conservation and restoration issues involving native and non-native species and communities in Florida

LITERATURE CITED

- Abrahamson, W.G. 1984a. Species responses to **fire** on Florida Lake Wales Ridge. *American Journal of Botany* **71**:35-43.
- Abrahamson, W.G. 1984b. Post-fire recovery of Florida Lake Wales Ridge vegetation. *American Journal of Botany* **71**:9-21.
- Abrahamson, W.G. and D.C. **Hartnett**. 1990. Pine **flatwoods** and dry prairies. Pp. 103-149 in R.L. Myers and J.J. **Ewel**, eds., *Ecosystems of Florida*. University of Central Florida Press, Orlando. 765 p.
- Amor**, R.L. and P.L. Stevens. 1975. Spread of weeds from a roadside into sclerophyll forest at Dartmouth, Australia *Weed Research* **16**:111-118.
- Anonymous. 1993. Exotic **fauna**, flora threaten ecosystems in Florida & Pelican **25**(5):14.
- Austin, **D.F.**, **K. Coleman-Marois**, and D.R. Richardson. 1977. Vegetation of southeastern Florida-II-V. *Florida Scientist* **40**(4):331-361.
- Baker, H.G. 1967. The evolution of weedy **taxa** in the *Eupatorium microstemon* species aggregate. *Taxon* **16**:293-300.
- Baker, H.G. 1986. Patterns of plant invasion in North America. Pp. 44-57 in H.A. Mooney and J.A. Drake, eds., *Ecology of Biological Invasions of North America and Hawaii*. Vol. 58. Springer Verlag, New York. 321 p.
- Bazzaz**, F.A. 1986. Life history of colonizing plants: some demographic, genetic, and physiological features. Pp. 96-110 in H.A. Mooney and J.A. Drake, eds., *Ecology of Biological Invasions of North America and Hawaii*. Vol. 58. Springer Verlag, New York. 321 p.
- Brady, N.C. 1974. The **Nature** and Properties of Soils. 8th Ed. MacMillan Publishing Co., New York. 639 p.
- Buchanan, G.A., C.S. **Hoveland**, and M.C. Harris. 1975. Response of weeds to soil pH. *Weed Science* **23**:473-477.
- Cale**, P. and R.J. Hobbs. 1991. Condition of roadside vegetation in relation to nutrient status. Pp. 353-362 in D.A. Saunders and R.J. Hobbs, eds., *Nature Conservation 2: The Role of Corridors*. **Surrey Beatty**, Chipping Norton, England. 442 p.
- Clements**, A. 1983. Suburban development and resultant changes in the vegetation of the **bushland** of the **northern** Sydney region. *Australian Journal of Ecology* **8**:307-319.
- D'Antonio**, C.M. and P.M. **Vitousek**. 1992. Biological invasions by exotic grasses, the grass/fire cycle, and global change. *Annual Review of Ecology and Systematics* **23**:63-87.
- Denslow**, J.S. 1985. Disturbance-mediated coexistence of species. Pp. 307-323 in S.T.A. **Pickett** and P.S. White, eds., *The Ecology of Natural Disturbance and Patch Dynamics*. Academic Press, **Orlando, Fla.** 472 p.
- Elton, C.S. 1958. *The Ecology of Invasions by Animals and Plants*. **Methuen**, London. 181 p.
- Ewel**, J.J. 1986. **Invasibility**: lessons from south Florida. Pp. 214-230 in H.A. Mooney and J.A. Drake, eds., *Ecology of Biological Invasions of North America and Hawaii*. Vol. 58. Springer Verlag, New York. 321 p.
- Florida Department of **Environmental Protection**. 1994. An assessment of invasive and

- non-indigenous species in Florida's public Lands. Technical Report Number **TSS-94-100**, Florida Department of Environmental protection, Tallahassee. 303 p.
- Forcella, F. and S.J. Harvey.** 1983. Eurasian weed infestation in western Montana in relation to vegetation and disturbance. **Madroño** **30(2):102-109.**
- Fox, B.J. and M.D. Fox.** 1986. Resilience of animal and plant communities to human disturbance. pp. 3964 in **B. Dell, A.J.M. Hopkins, and B.B. Lamont, eds., Resilience in Mediterranean-type Ecosystems.** Dr. W. Junk publishers, Boston, Mass. 168 p.
- Gordon, D.R.** 1993. Hazards of introducing non-native species. **Pelican** **25(5):7, 14.**
- Gordon, D.R.** In press. Effects of **invasive**, non-indigenous plant species on ecosystem processes: lessons from Florida. Ecological Applications.
- Greenberg, C.H.** 1993. Effect of high-intensity wildfire and silvicultural treatments on biotic communities of sand pine scrub. Ph.D. diss., University of Florida, Gainesville. 185 p.
- Greenberg, C.H., D.G. Neary, and L.D. Harris.** 1995. Vegetation recovery following **high**-intensity wildfire and silvicultural treatments in sand pine scrub. **American Midland Naturalist** **133:372-412.**
- Hanlon, E.E., J.G. Gonzales, and R.M. Bartos.** 1994. **IFAS** extension soil, testing laboratory chemical procedures and training manual. **Circular** 812, Florida Cooperative Extension Service, **IFAS**, University of Florida, Gainesville. 87 p.
- Heddl, E.M. and R.L. Specht.** 1975. Dark Island Heath (Ninety-Mile plain, South Australia). VIII. The effects of fertilizers on composition and growth. **Australian Journal of Botany** **23:151-164.**
- Hobbs, R.J. and L. Atkins.** 1988. The effect of disturbance and nutrient addition on native and introduced annuals in the Western Australian wheatbelt. **Australian Journal of Ecology** **13:171-179.**
- Hobbs, R.J. and L. Atkins.** 1991. Interactions between annuals and woody perennials in a Western Australian nature reserve. **Journal of Vegetation Science** **2:643-654.**
- Hobbs, R.J. and L.F. Huenneke.** 1992. Disturbance, diversity, and invasion: implications for conservation. **Conservation Biology** **6(3):324-337.**
- Hobbs, R.J., S.L. Gulmon, V.J. Hobbs, and H.A. Mooney.** 1988. Effects of fertilizer addition and subsequent gopher disturbance on a serpentine annual grassland community. **Oecologia** **75:291-295.**
- Hueneke, L.F., S.P. Hamberg, R. Koide, H.A. Mooney, and P.M. Vitousek.** 1990. Effects of soil **resources** on plant invasion and community structure in Californian serpentine grassland. **Ecology** **71:478-491.**
- Johnson, B.J. and R.W. Burns.** 1985. Effect of soil **pH**, fertility, and herbicides on weed control and quality of bermudagrass (*Cynodon dactylon*) turf. **Weed Science** **33:366-370.**
- Lodge, D.M.** 1993. Species invasions and **de**-**letions**: Community effects and responses to climate and habitat change. pp. 367-387 in **P.M. Kareiva, J.G. Kingsolver, and R.B. Huey, eds., Biotic Interactions and Global Change.** Sinauer Associates, Sunderland, Mass. 559 p.
- MacDonald, I.A.W., L.L. Loope, M.B. Usher, and O. Hamann.** 1989. Wildlife conservation and the invasion of nature reserves by introduced species: a global perspective. pp. 215-255 in **J.A. Drake, H.A. Mooney, F. Di Castri, R.H. Groves, F.J. Kruger, M. Rejmanek, M. Williamson, eds., Biological Invasions: A Global Perspective.** John Wiley & Sons, Chichester, England, 525 p.
- McIntyre, S. and S. Lavorel.** 1994. predicting richness of native, rare, and exotic plants in response to habitat and disturbance variables across a variegated landscape. **Conservation Biology** **8:521-531.**
- Mooney, H.A. and J.A. Drake (eds.).** 1986. Ecology of Biological Invasions of North America and Hawaii. Vol. 58. Springer Verlag, New York. 321 p.
- Myers, R.L.** 1990. Scrub and high pine. pp. 150-193 in **R.L. Myers and J.J. Ewel, Ecosystems of Florida.** University of Central Florida press, Orlando. 765 p.
- Office of Technology Assessment.** 1993. Harmful Non-Indigenous Species in the United States. OTA-F-565, U.S. Congress, Office of Technology Assessment, Washington, D.C. 391 p.
- Orians, G.H.** 1986. Site characteristics favoring invasions. pp. 133-148 in **H.A. Mooney and J.A. Drake, eds., Ecology of Biological Invasions of North America and Hawaii.** Vol. 58. Springer Verlag, New York. 321 p.
- SAS Institute.** 1989. **SAS/STAT** User's Guide, Version 6. Vol. 2. 4th Ed. SAS Institute, Cary. N.C. 1685 p.
- Soil Survey Staff.** 1992. Soil Survey Laboratory **Methods** Manual. Soil Survey Investigation Report No. 42, Version 2.0, U.S. Department of Agriculture, Soil Conservation Service, Washington, D.C. 400 p.
- Stephenson, R.J. and J.E. Recheigl.** 1991. Effects of dolomite and gypsum on weeds. **Communications in Soil Science and Plant Analysis** **22:1569-1579.**
- U.S. Soil Conservation Service.** 1975. Soil Survey of **Ocala** National Forest Area, Florida: Parts of Marion, Lake, and Putnam Counties. U.S. Government printing Office, Washington, D.C. 64 p.
- Vitousek, P.M.** 1986. Biological invasion and ecosystem properties: can a species make a difference? pp. 163-176 in **H.A. Mooney and J.A. Drake, eds., Ecology of Biological Invasions of North America and Hawaii.** Vol. 58. Springer Verlag, New York. 321 p.
- Vitousek, P.M., L.R. Walker, L.D. Whiteaker, D. Mueller-Dombois, and P.A. Matson.** 1987. Biological invasion by *Myrica faya* alters ecosystem development in Hawaii. **Science** **238:802-804.**
- Wace, N.** 1977. Assessment of dispersal of plant species-the car-borne flora in Canberra. proceedings of the Ecological Society of Australia **10:167-186.**
- Ward, D.B.** 1990. How many plant species are native to Florida. **The Palmetto** 1989 **1990:3-5.**
- Westman, W.E.** 1985. **The** concept of **resilience**. pp. 5-19 in **B. Dell, A.J. M. Hopkins, and B.B. Lamont, eds., Resilience in Mediterranean-type Ecosystems.** Dr. W. Junk Publishers, Boston, Mass. 168 p.
- Willard, T.R., D.W. Hall, D.G. Shilling, J.A. Lewis, and W.L. Currey.** 1990. Cogongrass (*Imperata cylindrica*) distribution on Florida highway rights-of-way. **Weed Technology** **4:658-660.**
- Zar, J.H.** 1984. Biostatistical Analysis. Prentice Hall, Englewood Cliffs, N.J. 718 p.

